Semigroup identities of groups: Shirshov's problems and group radicals

Mikhail Volkov

Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

Lisbon, July 27, 2011

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1}z_kY_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1}z_kX_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

Lisbon, July 27, 2011

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \bumpeq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \bumpeq Y_c$.

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

$$xz_1y \doteq yz_1x$$

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \mathrel{\hat=} v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \mathrel{\hat=} Y_c$.

$$xz_1y \mathrel{\hat=} yz_1x$$

$$xz_1y \cdot z_2 \cdot yz_1x \mathrel{\hat=} yz_1x \cdot z_2 \cdot xz_1y$$

Maltsev's Identities

A semigroup identity is a pair of semigroup words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$. It is called non-trivial if $u \neq v$.

Maltsev (1953) observed that every nilpotent group satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity while the free metabelian group with two generators does not.

Moreover, he proved that the variety of all nilpotent groups of class $\leq c$ can be defined by a single semigroup identity.

Let $X_0 = x$, $Y_0 = y$, and for $k > 0$ let $X_k = X_{k-1} z_k Y_{k-1}$, $Y_k = Y_{k-1} z_k X_{k-1}$. Then a group G is nilpotent of class c iff G satisfies the identity $X_c \doteq Y_c$.

$$xz_1y \doteq yz_1x$$

$$xz_1y \cdot z_2 \cdot yz_1x \doteq yz_1x \cdot z_2 \cdot xz_1y$$

$$xz_1yz_2yz_1x \cdot z_3 \cdot yz_1xz_2xz_1y \doteq yz_1xz_2xz_1y \cdot z_3 \cdot xz_1yz_2yz_1x$$

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$

$$xy \cdot yx \doteq yx \cdot xy$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \bumpeq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \bumpeq yx$$

$$xy \cdot yx \bumpeq yx \cdot xy$$

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \bumpeq yxxy \cdot xyyx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \bumpeq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \bumpeq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$

$$xy \cdot yx \doteq yx \cdot xy$$

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$

$$xy \cdot yx \doteq yx \cdot xy$$

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.

He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$

$$xy \cdot yx \doteq yx \cdot xy$$

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups.
He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups:
a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Shirshov's Identities

Shirshov (1963) considered another interesting sequence of semigroup identities. He started with the identity $xy \doteq yx$ and applied the substitution $x \mapsto xy$, $y \mapsto yx$.

$$xy \doteq yx$$
$$xy \cdot yx \doteq yx \cdot xy$$
$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx$$

The n-th identity is $T_n \doteq \overleftarrow{T_n}$ where T_n is the n-th Thue-Morse word and $\overleftarrow{T_n}$ is its mirror image.

Shirshov denoted by $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ the group variety defined by the identity $T_k \doteq \overleftarrow{T_k}$ and referred to groups from $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$ as ν_k-groups. He gave a complete and transparent description of finite ν-groups: a finite group G is a ν-group iff G is an extension of a nilpotent group of odd order by a 2-group.

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators:
$[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1}y] = [[x, {}_ny], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators: $[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1}y] = [[x, {}_ny], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators: $[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1}y] = [[x, {}_ny], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators:
$[x, {}_1 y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1} y] = [[x, {}_n y], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_n y] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators: $[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1}y] = [[x, {}_ny], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators: $[x,{}_1y] = [x,y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x,{}_{n+1}y] = [[x,{}_ny],y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x,{}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Engel Groups

Shirshov's goal however was to understand the relations between ν-groups and Engel groups.

Recall the standard notation for iterated commutators: $[x, {}_1y] = [x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ and $[x, {}_{n+1}y] = [[x, {}_ny], y]$.

The variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$ of all k-Engel groups is defined by the (group) identity $[x, {}_ny] \doteq 1$.

Obviously, $\mathbf{E}^{(1)} = \mathbf{N}^{(1)}$ is the variety of all Abelian groups. It is easy to see that $\mathbf{E}^{(2)} = \mathbf{N}^{(2)}$. Shirshov proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(3)} \subset \mathbf{N}^{(3)}$. Moreover, $\mathbf{E}^{(3)}$ can be defined by two semigroup identities, namely,

$$xyyx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot xyyx,$$

$$xyyx \cdot yx \cdot yxxy \doteq yxxy \cdot yx \cdot xyyx.$$

Shirshov's Problems

Shirshov explicitly formulates three problems that seem to remain open for almost 50 years:

Shirshov explicitly formulates three problems that seem to remain open for almost 50 years:

Problem 0

Find semigroup identities (if they exist) that define the variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$.

Shirshov's Problems

Shirshov explicitly formulates three problems that seem to remain open for almost 50 years:

Problem 0

Find semigroup identities (if they exist) that define the variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$.

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov's Problems

Shirshov explicitly formulates three problems that seem to remain open for almost 50 years:

Problem 0

Find semigroup identities (if they exist) that define the variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$.

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Problem 2

Do there exist ν-groups which are not locally solvable?

Shirshov's Problems

Shirshov explicitly formulates three problems that seem to remain open for almost 50 years:

Problem 0

Find semigroup identities (if they exist) that define the variety $\mathbf{E}^{(k)}$.

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Problem 2

Do there exist ν-groups which are not locally solvable?

Shirshov adds that if the two last questions both have negative answers then every Engel group would be locally nilpotent.

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$).

In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$).

In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$). In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Lisbon, July 27, 2011

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$).

In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Shirshov's Problems: A Discussion

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$). In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Problem 2

Do there exist ν-groups which are not locally solvable?

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Shirshov's Problems: A Discussion

Problem 1

Do there exist Engel groups which are not ν-groups?

Shirshov means here bounded Engel groups (groups from $\bigcup_k \mathbf{E}^{(k)}$). In fact, I do not even know if $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is contained in any $\mathbf{N}^{(k)}$. Havas and Vaughan-Lee have recently proved that $\mathbf{E}^{(4)}$ is locally nilpotent (G. Havas, M. R. Vaughan-Lee. 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent. IJAC, Vol.15 (2005) 649–682).

Problem 2

Do there exist ν-groups which are not locally solvable?

Ol'shanskij and Storozhev have constructed a 2-generated group which satisfies a non-trivial semigroup identity but is not a periodic extension of a locally soluble group (A. Yu. Ol'shanskij, A. Storozhev. A group variety defined by a semigroup law. J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A, Vol.60 (1996) 255–259).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \simeq v$.
It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \simeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \simeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \simeq v$. It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \simeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \simeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \bumpeq v$. It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \bumpeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \bumpeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \doteq v$. It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \doteq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \doteq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \bumpeq v$.
It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \bumpeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \bumpeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \bumpeq v$.
It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \bumpeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \bumpeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions

We have seen some sequences of words and identities.
Can we speak of their limits in some reasonable sense?
Yes, we can!

Let A be a finite alphabet, A^+ the set of all (semigroup) words over A—the free semigroup over A. Define the function $d : A^+ \times A^+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ as follows:

$$d(u, v) = 2^{-r(u,v)}$$

where $r(u, v)$ is the minimum size of a semigroup violating $u \bumpeq v$.
It is easy to see that d is a distance on A^+.

Examples: $d(x, x^2) = \frac{1}{4}$ since the identity $x \bumpeq x^2$ fails in the 2-element group. $d(x^2, x^4) = \frac{1}{8}$ since $x^2 \bumpeq x^4$ holds in every 2-element semigroup (but fails in the 3-element group).

Profinite Completions-2

So $\langle A^+, d\rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is "much bigger" than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

Profinite Completions-2

So $\langle A^+, d \rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is "much bigger" than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

So $\langle A^+, d\rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is "much bigger" than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

Profinite Completions-2

So $\langle A^+, d\rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is "much bigger" than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

Profinite Completions-2

So $\langle A^+, d\rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is "much bigger" than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

Profinite Completions-2

So $\langle A^+, d\rangle$ becomes a metric space.

Its completion $\overline{A^+}$ is called the free profinite semigroup over A and its elements (limits of Cauchy sequences of words) are profinite words.

Example: $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

Similarly, one defines the free profinite group over A (as the completion of the free group over A with respect to an analogous metric).

Warning: While the free semigroup A^+ embeds into the free group over A, the free profinite semigroup $\overline{A^+}$ is “much bigger” than the free profinite group over A and contains uncountably many disjoint copies of the latter. More in Jorge Almeida's talk tomorrow.

Reiterman's Theorem

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \mathrel{\hat{=}} v$.

A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \triangleq v$.

A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

Reiterman's Theorem

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \triangleq v$.

A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

Reiterman's Theorem

Every system of profinite identities defines (within the class **G** of all finite groups) a pseudovariety, and conversely, every pseudovariety can be defined by a suitable system of profinite identities.

Reiterman's Theorem

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$.
A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

Reiterman's Theorem

Every system of profinite identities defines (within the class $\mathbf{G}$ of all finite groups) a pseudovariety, and conversely, every pseudovariety can be defined by a suitable system of profinite identities.

$\mathbf{G}$ is defined by $x^\omega y \doteq yx^\omega \doteq y$ where $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$.

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$.
A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

Reiterman's Theorem

Every system of profinite identities defines (within the class **G** of all finite groups) a pseudovariety, and conversely, every pseudovariety can be defined by a suitable system of profinite identities.

G is defined by $x^\omega y \doteq yx^\omega \doteq y$ where $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$. (It is convenient to write this pair of profinite identities as a single identity $x^\omega \doteq 1$.)

A profinite identity is a pair of profinite words (u, v) usually written as a formal equality $u \doteq v$.

A pseudovariety is a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and finite direct products.

Reiterman's Theorem

Every system of profinite identities defines (within the class **G** of all finite groups) a pseudovariety, and conversely, every pseudovariety can be defined by a suitable system of profinite identities.

G is defined by $x^\omega y \doteq yx^\omega \doteq y$ where $x^\omega = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{n!}$. (It is convenient to write this pair of profinite identities as a single identity $x^\omega \doteq 1$.)

$\mathbf{G}_{2'}$, the pseudovariety of all groups of odd order, is defined by $x^{2^\omega-1} \doteq 1$ where $x^{2^\omega-1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} x^{2^{n!}-1}$.

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;

Lisbon, July 27, 2011

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product $N_1 N_2$;

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product N_1N_2; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product $N_1 N_2$; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;
3. for every finite group G, the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ is trivial.

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product N_1N_2; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;
3. for every finite group G, the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ is trivial.

If a radical class happens to be a pseudovariety, we speak of a radical pseudovariety.

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product N_1N_2; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;
3. for every finite group G, the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ is trivial.

If a radical class happens to be a pseudovariety, we speak of a radical pseudovariety.

Examples: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, the class of all finite solvable groups;

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product $N_1 N_2$; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;
3. for every finite group G, the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ is trivial.

If a radical class happens to be a pseudovariety, we speak of a radical pseudovariety.

Examples: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, the class of all finite solvable groups;
$\mathbf{G}_{2'}$, the class of all groups of odd order;

Radical Classes

A radical class is a class $\mathbf{X} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathbf{X}$ is closed under taking homomorphic images;
2. if G is a finite group and N_1 and N_2 are normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$, then so does their product N_1N_2; the product $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of all normal subgroups of G which belong to $\mathbf{X}$ is called the $\mathbf{X}$-radical of G;
3. for every finite group G, the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ is trivial.

If a radical class happens to be a pseudovariety, we speak of a radical pseudovariety.

Examples: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, the class of all finite solvable groups;
$\mathbf{G}_{2'}$, the class of all groups of odd order;
$\mathbf{G}_2$, the class of all 2-groups.

Radical Pseudovarieties

Theorem (Almeida, Margolis, Steinberg, ∼, 2010)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a radical pseudovariety. Then there exists a profinite word w in two variables such that $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by the profinite identity $w \doteq 1$.

Remark 1. The result depends on the classification of finite simple groups.

Remark 2. This is a compactness argument; the explicit construction of w for some X may be a difficult task —in general even algorithmically undecidable.

Remark 3. For $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, an explicit construction may be derived from a recent work by T. Bandman e.a. (Two-variable identities for finite solvable groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. Vol.337 (2003) 581–586) or J. N. Bray, J. S. Wilson, R. A. Wilson (A characterization of finite soluble groups by laws in two variables. Bull. London Math. Soc. Vol.37 (2005) 179–186.)

Theorem (Almeida, Margolis, Steinberg, ∼, 2010)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a radical pseudovariety. Then there exists a profinite word w in two variables such that $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by the profinite identity $w \doteq 1$.

Remark 1. The result depends on the classification of finite simple groups.

Remark 2. This is a compactness argument; the explicit construction of w for some X may be a difficult task —in general even algorithmically undecidable.

Remark 3. For $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, an explicit construction may be derived from a recent work by T. Bandman e.a. (Two-variable identities for finite solvable groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. Vol.337 (2003) 581–586) or J. N. Bray, J. S. Wilson, R. A. Wilson (A characterization of finite soluble groups by laws in two variables. Bull. London Math. Soc. Vol.37 (2005) 179–186.)

Radical Pseudovarieties

Theorem (Almeida, Margolis, Steinberg, ∼, 2010)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a radical pseudovariety. Then there exists a profinite word w in two variables such that $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by the profinite identity $w \doteq 1$.

Remark 1. The result depends on the classification of finite simple groups.

Remark 2. This is a compactness argument; the explicit construction of w for some X may be a difficult task —in general even algorithmically undecidable.

Remark 3. For $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, an explicit construction may be derived from a recent work by T. Bandman e.a. (Two-variable identities for finite solvable groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. Vol.337 (2003) 581–586) or J. N. Bray, J. S. Wilson, R. A. Wilson (A characterization of finite soluble groups by laws in two variables. Bull. London Math. Soc. Vol.37 (2005) 179–186.)

Theorem (Almeida, Margolis, Steinberg, ∼, 2010)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a radical pseudovariety. Then there exists a profinite word w in two variables such that $\mathbf{X}$ is defined by the profinite identity $w \doteq 1$.

Remark 1. The result depends on the classification of finite simple groups.

Remark 2. This is a compactness argument; the explicit construction of w for some X may be a difficult task —in general even algorithmically undecidable.

Remark 3. For $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{sol}}$, an explicit construction may be derived from a recent work by T. Bandman e.a. (Two-variable identities for finite solvable groups. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. Vol.337 (2003) 581–586) or J. N. Bray, J. S. Wilson, R. A. Wilson (A characterization of finite soluble groups by laws in two variables. Bull. London Math. Soc. Vol.37 (2005) 179–186.)

We have similar (but more complicated) results for Fitting pseudovarieties, i.e. pseudovarieties satisfying the second property in the definition of a radical class but not the third.

If $\mathbf{X}$ is a Fitting pseudovariety, then for every finite group G the $\mathbf{X}$-radical $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of G exists but the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ may be non-trivial.

Example: $\mathbf{G}_{\rm nil}$, the class of all finite nilpotent groups.

$\mathbf{G}_{\rm nil}$ is defined by the profinite Engel identity $[x,_\omega y] \doteq 1$, where $[x,_\omega y] = \lim_{n\to\infty}[x,_{n!} y]$.

We have similar (but more complicated) results for Fitting pseudovarieties, i.e. pseudovarieties satisfying the second property in the definition of a radical class but not the third.
If $\mathbf{X}$ is a Fitting pseudovariety, then for every finite group G the $\mathbf{X}$-radical $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of G exists but the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ may be non-trivial.

Example: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$, the class of all finite nilpotent groups.

$\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ is defined by the profinite Engel identity $[x,{}_{\omega}y] \doteq 1$, where $[x,{}_{\omega}y] = \lim_{n\to\infty}[x,{}_{n!}y]$.

We have similar (but more complicated) results for Fitting pseudovarieties, i.e. pseudovarieties satisfying the second property in the definition of a radical class but not the third.
If $\mathbf{X}$ is a Fitting pseudovariety, then for every finite group G the $\mathbf{X}$-radical $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of G exists but the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ may be non-trivial.

Example: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$, the class of all finite nilpotent groups.

$\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ is defined by the profinite Engel identity $[x,{}_{\omega}y] \doteq 1$, where $[x,{}_{\omega}y] = \lim_{n\to\infty}[x,{}_{n!}y]$.

Fitting Pseudovarieties

We have similar (but more complicated) results for Fitting pseudovarieties, i.e. pseudovarieties satisfying the second property in the definition of a radical class but not the third.
If $\mathbf{X}$ is a Fitting pseudovariety, then for every finite group G the $\mathbf{X}$-radical $G_{\mathbf{X}}$ of G exists but the subgroup $(G/G_{\mathbf{X}})_{\mathbf{X}}$ may be non-trivial.

Example: $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$, the class of all finite nilpotent groups.

$\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$ is defined by the profinite Engel identity $[x, {}_{\omega} y] \bumpeq 1$, where $[x, {}_{\omega} y] = \lim_{n\to\infty} [x, {}_{n!} y]$.

Characterization of the Radical

Let **X** be a Fitting pseudovariety. We say that the **X**-radical is characterized by a set W of profinite words if, for every finite group G,

$$G_{\mathbf{X}} = \{a \in G : \forall b_1, \ldots, b_r \in G \ \forall w \in W, \ w(a, b_1, \ldots, b_r) = 1\}.$$

The number $r + 1$ is the arity of the characterization.

Examples:
The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]$.
The $\mathbf{G}_2$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]\, x_1^{2^\omega}$.

In both cases we have a singleton binary characterization.

Let **X** be a Fitting pseudovariety. We say that the **X**-radical is characterized by a set W of profinite words if, for every finite group G,

$$G_{\mathbf{X}} = \{a \in G : \forall b_1, \dots, b_r \in G \ \forall w \in W,\ w(a, b_1, \dots, b_r) = 1\}.$$

The number $r + 1$ is the arity of the characterization.

Examples:
The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]$.
The $\mathbf{G}_2$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]\, x_1^{2^\omega}$.

In both cases we have a singleton binary characterization.

Let **X** be a Fitting pseudovariety. We say that the **X**-radical is characterized by a set W of profinite words if, for every finite group G,

$$G_{\mathbf{X}} = \{a \in G : \forall b_1, \ldots, b_r \in G\ \forall w \in W,\ w(a, b_1, \ldots, b_r) = 1\}.$$

The number $r + 1$ is the arity of the characterization.

Examples:
The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_{\omega}x_1]$.
The $\mathbf{G}_2$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_{\omega}x_1]\, x_1^{2^{\omega}}$.

In both cases we have a singleton binary characterization.

Characterization of the Radical

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Fitting pseudovariety. We say that the $\mathbf{X}$-radical is characterized by a set W of profinite words if, for every finite group G,

$$G_{\mathbf{X}} = \{a \in G : \forall b_1, \ldots, b_r \in G \ \forall w \in W, \ w(a, b_1, \ldots, b_r) = 1\}.$$

The number $r + 1$ is the arity of the characterization.

Examples:
The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]$.
The $\mathbf{G}_2$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_\omega x_1]\, x_1^{2^\omega}$.

In both cases we have a singleton binary characterization.

Characterization of the Radical

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a Fitting pseudovariety. We say that the $\mathbf{X}$-radical is characterized by a set W of profinite words if, for every finite group G,

$$G_{\mathbf{X}} = \{a \in G : \forall b_1, \dots, b_r \in G \ \forall w \in W,\ w(a, b_1, \dots, b_r) = 1\}.$$

The number $r + 1$ is the arity of the characterization.

Examples:
The $\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{nil}}$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_{\omega} x_1]$.
The $\mathbf{G}_2$-radical is characterized by the profinite word $[x_2, {}_{\omega} x_1]\, x_1^{2^{\omega}}$.

In both cases we have a singleton binary characterization.

Open Problem

Problem

Is there a singleton binary characterization of the solvable radical?

It follows for a result by R. Guralnick e.a. (Thompson-like characterization of the solvable radical. J. Algebra, Vol.300 (2006) 363–375) that the solvable radical admits a binary characterization but, perhaps, involving infinitely many profinite words.

T. Bandman e.a. (Engel-like characterization of radicals in finite dimensional Lie algebras and finite groups. Manuscripta Math., Vol.119 (2006) 365–381) have formulated a general conjecture whose validity would imply a positive answer to the above problem. They established the analog of the conjecture for finite-dimensional Lie algebras while J. S. Wilson (Characterization of the solvable radical by a sequence of words. J. Algebra, Vol.326 (2011) 286–289) has recently proved their conjecture for the class of finite linear groups.

Open Problem

Problem

Is there a singleton binary characterization of the solvable radical?

It follows for a result by R. Guralnick e.a. (Thompson-like characterization of the solvable radical. J. Algebra, Vol.300 (2006) 363–375) that the solvable radical admits a binary characterization but, perhaps, involving infinitely many profinite words.

T. Bandman e.a. (Engel-like characterization of radicals in finite dimensional Lie algebras and finite groups. Manuscripta Math., Vol.119 (2006) 365–381) have formulated a general conjecture whose validity would imply a positive answer to the above problem. They established the analog of the conjecture for finite-dimensional Lie algebras while J. S. Wilson (Characterization of the solvable radical by a sequence of words. J. Algebra, Vol.326 (2011) 286–289) has recently proved their conjecture for the class of finite linear groups.

Open Problem

Problem

Is there a singleton binary characterization of the solvable radical?

It follows for a result by R. Guralnick e.a. (Thompson-like characterization of the solvable radical. J. Algebra, Vol.300 (2006) 363–375) that the solvable radical admits a binary characterization but, perhaps, involving infinitely many profinite words.

T. Bandman e.a. (Engel-like characterization of radicals in finite dimensional Lie algebras and finite groups. Manuscripta Math., Vol.119 (2006) 365–381) have formulated a general conjecture whose validity would imply a positive answer to the above problem.

They established the analog of the conjecture for finite-dimensional Lie algebras while J. S. Wilson (Characterization of the solvable radical by a sequence of words. J. Algebra, Vol.326 (2011) 286–289) has recently proved their conjecture for the class of finite linear groups.

Open Problem

Problem

Is there a singleton binary characterization of the solvable radical?

It follows for a result by R. Guralnick e.a. (Thompson-like characterization of the solvable radical. J. Algebra, Vol.300 (2006) 363–375) that the solvable radical admits a binary characterization but, perhaps, involving infinitely many profinite words.

T. Bandman e.a. (Engel-like characterization of radicals in finite dimensional Lie algebras and finite groups. Manuscripta Math., Vol.119 (2006) 365–381) have formulated a general conjecture whose validity would imply a positive answer to the above problem. They established the analog of the conjecture for finite-dimensional Lie algebras while J. S. Wilson (Characterization of the solvable radical by a sequence of words. J. Algebra, Vol.326 (2011) 286–289) has recently proved their conjecture for the class of finite linear groups.